## No.C.31011/3/2016-C.V.O **GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY** CONFIDENTIAL AND CABINET DEPARTMENT had even recommended to SOIFFO SOIFF VIGILANCE OFFICE of behavior never had been recommended to solve the solve solve the

Puducherry, Date:03.08.2016

## enived sesso and refer I.D.NOTE / OFFICE MEMORANDUM

Sub: Obtaining advice of Central Vigilance Commission, New Delhi in RDA cases against Group "A" Officers-Instruction-Issued. charge sheet(s) and bio-data of the delinquent officials, to take up the case(s) with

The provision contained under Sec. 8(1) (g) of Central Vigilance Commission Act 2003 requires the Central Vigilance Commission to tender advice to Government Departments/Organisations. As per the existing scheme for consultation with the Commission, the Chief Vigilance Officers of the Ministries/Departments and all other organisations are required to seek the Commission's first stage advice after obtaining the tentative views of the Disciplinary Authorities on the reports of the preliminary inquiry/investigation of all complaints involving allegation(s) of corruption or improper motive; or if the alleged facts prima-facie indicate an element of vigilance angle involving Group "A" officers, before the competent authority takes a final decision in the matter. Hence, Commission's advice in respect the cases arising out of the complaint involving Group "A" Officers, has to be obtained at two stages, firstly on the investigation report, secondly on the Inquiry Report.

2. Instances have been brought to the notice of Chief Vigilance Office, Puducherry that departments are instituting Regular Departmental Action against Group "A" officers or in respect of the case arising out of the complaint having vigilance angle/involving Group "A" officers, without the advice of the Central Vigilance Commission, New Delhi. When such cases are referred to the Commission at a later stage, the Commission is viewing such lapses very seriously and returns the cases without tendering advice. In certain cases, the Commission

## No.C.310114**2**42016-C.V.O GOVERNMENT OF PUDUCHERRY CONFIDENTIAL AND CARINET DEPARTMENT

had even recommended to fix responsibility on the authorities concerned who have not followed the mandated procedure in making reference to the Commission for their advice.

3. To avoid such instances in future, it is instructed to refer the cases having vigilance angle/involving Group "A" Officers, to Chief Vigilance Office, Puducherry, along with the tentative views/recommendations of the Disciplinary Authority, draft charge sheet(s) and bio-data of the delinquent officials, to take up the case(s) with Central Vigilance Commission, New Delhi for their 1<sup>st</sup>/2<sup>nd</sup> stage advice.

Officer, Puducherry is rebnet of noiseimmo enabligive land entre of the Central Vigilance Company o

officers of the Ministries/Departments and all other as ar (NANNA). Seek the Commission's first stage advice after

UNDER SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT

preliminary Inquiry/Investigation of all complaints involving allegation(s) of corrup of All Secretaries to Govt./HoDs/CEOs of PSUs, alleged far, support of the alleged far, support of the support of t

As per the existing scheme for consultation with the

angle involving Group "A" officers, before the competent authority takes a final decision in the matter. Hence, Commission's advice in respect the cases arising out of the complaint involving Group "A" Officers, has to be obtained at two stages, firstly

2. Instances have been brought to the notice of Chief Vigilance Office, Puducherry that departments are instituting Regular Departmental Action against Group "A" officers or in respect of the case arising out of the complaint having vigilance angle/involving Group "A" officers, without the advice of the Central Vigilance Commission, New Delhi. When such cases are referred to the Commission at a later stage, the Commission is viewing such lapses very seriously

10